Performance Analysis of Hierarchical Clustering Algorithm

K.Ranjini

Department of Computer Science and Engineering, Einstein College of Engineering, Tirunelveli, India vkranjini@yahoo.com **Dr.N.Rajalingam**

Department of Management Studies, Manonmaniam Sundaranar University, Tirunelveli, India rajalingam.na@gmail.com

data are taken for analysis.

Keywords: Agglomerative, Divisive, Clustering, Tsunami Database, Data mining

Date of Submission: March 10, 2011	Date of Acceptance: May 03, 2011

1. Introduction

 \mathbf{D}_{ata} mining is the process of analyzing data from different perspectives and summarizing it into useful information. Technically, data mining is the process of finding correlations or patterns among dozens of fields in large relational databases. Extraction of hidden predictive information from large databases is a powerful new technology in data mining, which has a great potential to help companies to focus on the most important information in their data warehouses. They scour databases for hidden patterns, finding predictive information that experts may miss because it lies outside their expectations. Data mining tools predict future trends and behaviors, allowing businesses to make proactive, knowledge-driven decisions. The automated, prospective analyses offered by data mining move beyond the analyses of past events provided by retrospective tools typical of decision support systems. Data mining tools can answer business questions that traditionally were too time-consuming to resolve. [1][2][14]

Data mining commonly involves four classes of tasks:

- Association rule learning Searches for relationships between variables.
- Clustering is the task of discovering groups and structures in the data that are "similar" in

some way or another, without using known structures in the data.

- Classification is the task of generalizing known structure to apply to new data.
- Regression Attempts to find a function which models the data with the least error. [3]

Among the technique used clustering is the most important and widely used technique. **Cluster analysis** or **clustering** is the assignment of a set of observations into subsets (called *clusters*) so that observations in the same cluster are similar in some sense. It is a method of unsupervised learning, and a common technique for statistical data analysis used in many fields, including machine learning, data mining, pattern recognition, image analysis, information retrieval, and bioinformatics.

Clustering methods are divided into hierarchical and partitioning clustering. Hierarchical algorithms find successive clusters using previously established clusters. These algorithms usually are either agglomerative ("bottom-up") or divisive ("top-down"). Agglomerative algorithms begin with each element as a separate cluster and merge them into successively larger clusters. Divisive algorithms begin with the whole set and proceed to divide it into successively smaller clusters. [4]

In general, the merges and splits are determined in a greedy manner. In order to decide which clusters should be combined (for agglomerative), or where a cluster should be split (for divisive), a measure of dissimilarity/similarity between sets of observations is required. In most methods of hierarchical clustering, this is achieved by use of an appropriate metric (a measure of distance between pairs of observations), and a linkage criterion which specifies the dissimilarity of sets as a function of the pairwise distances of observations in the sets. The results of hierarchical clustering are usually presented in a dendrogram. [5]. The choice of an appropriate metric will influence the shape of the clusters, as some elements may be close to one another according to one distance and farther away according to another.

1.1 Linkage criteria

The linkage criterion determines the distance between sets of observations as a function of the pair wise distances between observations. Some of the commonly used linkage criteria are Single Linkage, Complete Linkage, and Average Linkage.

In this paper, agglomerative and divisive hierarchical clustering algorithms with three linkages are implemented using Visual programming. The algorithms are tested against Tsunami Victim database. Database of the people who were affected by Tsunami during the year 2004, in and around Thailand is taken for testing. The database is selected as it has different types of fields such as numeric, string, and binary.

2. Distance measure

Cluster analysis discovers the natural groupings of the items (or variables). To measure the association between objects a quantitative scale is developed. These scales are referred as similarity measures and are mainly statistical measures that indicate the distances between each of the objects.[16]

2.1 Distance measures for numeric data

Different distance measures give different relative distance between given elements. Selecting a distance measure that determines how the *similarity* of two elements is an important step in any clustering.

Euclidean distance, Minkowski distance, Manhattan (City-Block), etc., are well known methods used for clustering Numeric field. However, all distance measures yields the same result for 1-norm distance. So, **Euclidean Method** is selected for this research.

2.1.1 Euclidean distance

Euclidean distances are usually computed from raw data, and not from standardized data. This method has certain advantages (e.g., the distance between any two objects is not affected by the addition of new objects to the analysis, which may be outliers). However, the distances can be greatly affected by differences in scale among the dimensions from which the distances are computed. For example, if one of the dimensions denotes a measured length in centimeters, and you then convert it to millimeters (by multiplying the values by 10), the resulting Euclidean or squared Euclidean distances (computed from multiple dimensions) can be greatly affected, and consequently, the results of cluster analyses may be very different.

This is the most commonly chosen type of distance. It simply is the geometric distance in the multidimensional space. [6][12][13]

The Euclidean distance between points $P=(p_1,p_2,...,p_n)$ and $Q = (q_1,q_2,...,q_n)$, is calculated using:

Where, p_i is the data point in x-axis Where, q_i is the data point in y-axis

2.2 Distance measures for binary data

Pairs of items are often compared on the basis of the presence and absence of certain characteristics, when there exists no meaningful p - dimensional measurements. Similar items have more characteristics in common than dissimilar items. The presence or absence of certain characteristics is described mathematically by introducing a binary variable (0 or 1), 1 for the presence of the characteristic and 0 for the absence of the characteristic.

The bit strings that characterize two objects may also be used to calculate a "distance." This effective distance may then be used with a clustering algorithm to place the objects into groups.

Given two objects, A and B, each with n binary attributes, each attribute of A and B can either be 0 or 1. The total number of each combination of attributes for both A and B are specified as follows:

- M_{11} represents the total number of attributes where *A* and *B* both have a value of 1.
- M_{01} represents the total number of attributes where the attribute of A is 0 and the attribute of B is 1.
- M_{10} represents the total number of attributes where the attribute of A is 1 and the attribute of B is 0.
- M_{00} represents the total number of attributes where *A* and *B* both have a value of 0.

Some other symbols that will be used are

- $M_i (= M_{10} + M_{11})$ is the total number of ON bits in object A.
- $M_j (= M_{01} + M_{11})$ is the total number of ON bits in object B.
- M_C (= M₁₁) is the total number of times a bit is ON in both bit strings.
- M_{I} (= M_{00} + M_{11}) is the total number of times the two bit strings agree.
- $L (= M_{00} + M_{01} + M_{10} + M_{11})$ is the length of the bit string.

Simple Matching - Sokal & Michener, Russel & Rao, Tanamoto Coefficient etc are the commonly used similarity metrics. In this paper, Simple Matching Sokal & Michener distance measure is used for clustering **binary** field.[17][18]

Int. J. Advanced Networking and Applications Volume: 03, Issue: 01, Pages: 1006-1011 (2011)

Simple Matching - Sokal & Michener is calculated using the formula:

$$SM = M_I/L \qquad \dots \dots (2)$$

2.3 Distance measures for string data

String metrics (also known as **similarity metrics**) are a class of textual based metrics resulting in a similarity or dissimilarity (distance) score between two pairs of strings for approximate matching or comparison.

Hamming Distance and Levenshtein Distance are the well known methods used for string fields. **Levenshtein Distance** is chosen for clustering as Hamming distance has a constraint that the string must be of equal length.

2.3.1 Levenshtein Distance (LD)

The Levenshtein distance (LD) is a measure of the similarity between two strings which is defined as the minimum number of edits needed to transform one string into the other, with the allowable edit operations being insertion, deletion, or substitution of a single character. If we refer the source string as s and the target string as t, the distance is the number of deletions, insertions, or substitutions required to transform s into t. For example,

- If s is "test" and t is "test", then LD(s,t) = 0, because no transformations are needed. The strings are already identical.
- If s is "test" and t is "tent", then LD(s,t) = 1, because one substitution (change "s" to "n") is sufficient to transform s into t.

The greater the Levenshtein distance, the more different the strings are. [10]

3. Hierarchical agglomerative algorithms

Given a set of N items to be clustered, and an N*N distance (or similarity) matrix, the basic process of hierarchical clustering is this:

- a. Start with N clusters, and a single sample indicates one cluster.
- b. Find the closest (most similar) pair of clusters and merge them into a single cluster, so that it has one cluster less.
- c. Compute distances (similarities) between the new cluster and each of the old clusters.
- d. Repeat steps 2 and 3 until all items are clustered into a single cluster of size N. [7][15][19]

The distances between each pair of clusters are computed to choose two clusters that have more opportunity to merge. There are several ways to calculate the distances between the clusters. Methods for measuring association between clusters are called linkage methods.

Linkage Methods or Measuring Association d₁₂ Between Clusters 1 and 2 [18]

 Single Linkage method - cluster objects based on the minimum distance between them (also called the nearest neighbor rule)

$$d_{12} = \underset{ii}{^{min}d}(X_i, Y_i) \qquad \dots \dots \dots (3)$$

• **Complete Linkage method** - cluster objects based on the maximum distance between them (also called the furthest neighbor rule)

$$d_{12} = \underset{ij}{max} d(X_i, Y_i) \qquad \dots \dots \dots \dots (4)$$

• Average Linkage method - cluster objects based on the average distance between all pairs of objects (one member of the pair must be from a different cluster) [9]

4. Hierarchical divisive algorithms

Divisive algorithms begin with one cluster that includes all data and start splitting. The single cluster splits into 2 or more clusters based on higher dissimilarity between them. Splitting continues till the number of clusters becomes equal to the number of samples or as specified by the user, whichever is less. The following algorithm is a kind of divisive algorithms that adopts splinter party method.[21][22]

- 4.1 Divisive algorithm
 - a. Initially start with a single cluster encompassing all elements;
 - b. Select *l*, the largest cluster or the cluster with highest diameter;
 - c. Find the element *e* in *l* that has the lowest average similarity to the other elements in *l*;
 - d. *e* is the first element added to the splinter group while the other elements in *l* remain in the original group;
 - e. Find the element *f* in the original group that has highest average similarity with the splinter group;
 - f. If the average similarity of f with the splinter group is higher than its average similarity with the original group then assign f to the splinter group and go to Step 5; otherwise do nothing;
 - g. Repeat Step 2 Step 6 until each element belong to its own cluster. [8]

5. Implementation

In this paper the agglomerative clustering algorithm with different linkages and divisive algorithm are implemented using Visual Programming and their performance are compared for all the basic data types and for various numbers of records.

As the researcher wish to implement the algorithm using all the three basic data types; numeric, string and binary, this Tsunami Database that contains 8000 records having all data types is used for the study.[11][20][23]

5.1 Tsunami database structure

Tsunami Victim database contains the fields as shown in the Table 1.

Int. J. Advanced Networking and Applications Volume: 03, Issue: 01, Pages: 1006-1011 (2011)

S.No	Field Name	Туре	
1.	Id	Numeric	
2.	Record Number	Numeric	
3.	Name	Character	
4.	Age	Numeric	
5.	Sex	Binary	
6.	Nationality	Character	
7.	Province	Character	
8.	Injured / Dead	Binary	

Table 1: Tsunami Database

5.2 Implementation of Hierarchical Clustering Algorithm

Hierarchical algorithms may be applied for different **types of data fields** like Character or String Field, Numeric Field and Binary Field.

This database contains three numeric fields, Id, Record number, and Age. As the user need to select the field based on which the database has to be clustered. As Id and Record number are unique fields and they cannot be clustered. The database may be clustered only with the Age field.

6. Performance analysis of the algorithms

Analysis is made for the performance which is based on the running time needed to execute agglomerative and divisive algorithm. The nature of the field and the number of records were been taken for analyzing the performance.

From the database, one field for each type of data i.e. sex field for binary data type, age field for numeric type and province field for string data type are taken for comparison In addition, two fields are combined together and the performance of the algorithm is compared as a special category with Sex and Injured/Dead.

6.1 Performance of hierarchical clustering algorithms in clustering different natures of field

Hierarchical clustering algorithms are applied for clustering the database using different natures of data field - binary, numerical, and string variables and based on the combination of two (binary) fields and the respective times are noted for the analysis.

6.1.1 Clustering using agglomerative - single linkage clustering algorithm

Table 2 shows the execution times of agglomerative - single linkage clustering algorithm in clustering the database based on different natures of data fields – binary, numeric, string, etc. and for varied number of records.

Table 2 Execution Time of Agglomerative - Single Linkage Clustering Algorithm

Nature of the field of	Execution Time (in Seconds) Number of Records			
Reference	250	500	750	1000
Sex (Binary Field)	9	62	227	473
Age (Numeric Field)	9	60	227	467
Provinces (String Field)	15	93	312	597
Sex & Injured/Dead (Two Binary Fields Combined)	9	64	209	475



Figure 1: Execution Time of Agglomerative - Single Linkage Clustering Algorithm

It is shown from fig. 1 that the execution time for clustering the database using agglomerative – single linkage algorithm is comparatively high for clustering based on the string variable. When clustering based on binary, numeric and combination of two binary fields the algorithm require almost equal time. The execution time increases with the increase in the size of the database.

6.1.2 Clustering Using Agglomerative – Complete Linkage Clustering Algorithm

Table 3 shows the execution times of agglomerative - complete linkage clustering algorithm in clustering the database based on different natures of data field – binary, numeric, string, etc. and for varied number of records.

 Table 3

 Execution Time of Agglomerative – Complete

 Linkage Clustering Algorithm

	Execution Time (in Number of Records			
Nature of the field of				
Reference	250	500	750	1000
Sex (Binary Field)	9	62	228	476
Age (Numeric Field)	9	60	225	464
Provinces (String Field)	15	94	275	599
Sex & Injured/Dead (Two Binary Fields Combined)	9	64	208	477



Figure 2: Execution Time of Agglomerative – Complete Linkage Clustering Algorithm

It is seen from fig. 2 that the execution time for clustering the database using agglomerative –complete linkage algorithm is comparatively high for clustering based on the string variable. When clustering based on binary, numeric and combination of two binary fields the algorithm require almost equal time. The execution time increases with the increase in the size of the database.

6.1.3 Clustering Using Agglomerative – Average Linkage Clustering Algorithm

Table 4
Execution Time of Agglomerative – Average
Linkage Clustering Algorithm

	Execution Time (in			
	Number of Records			
Nature of the field of Reference	250	50 0	750	100 0
Sex (Binary Field)	9	62	229	471
Age (Numeric Field)	8	60	228	464
Provinces (String Field)	15	93	312	600
Sex & Injured/Dead (Two Binary Fields Combined)	10	64	209	477

Table 4 shows the execution times of agglomerative – average linkage clustering algorithm in clustering the database based on different natures of data field – binary, numeric, string, etc. and for varied number of records.

It is seen from fig. 3 that agglomerative – average linkage algorithm also shows the same time requirement pattern for clustering the database using different natures of fields as exhibited in single and complete linkage agglomerative algorithms.



Figure 3: Execution Time of Agglomerative – Average Linkage Clustering Algorithm

6.1.4 Clustering Using Divisive Clustering Algorithm

Table 5 shows the execution times of divisive clustering algorithm in clustering the database based on different natures of data field – binary, numeric, string, etc. and for varied number of records.

Table 5
Execution Time of Divisive Clustering
Algorithm

	Execution Time (in Seconds) Number of Records			
Nature of the field of				
Reference	250	500	750	1000
Sex (Binary Field)	8	65	162	363
Age (Numeric Field)	3	9	20	38
Provinces (String Field)	12	52	112	215
Injured/Dead & Sex (Two Binary Fields Combined)	5	36	107	262



Figure 4: Execution Time of Divisive Clustering Algorithm

It is seen from fig. 4 that divisive clustering algorithm requires comparatively very less time to cluster the database using the numeric field. Differing from other clustering algorithms, divisive algorithm requires comparatively more time for binary field than the string field. Also divisive algorithm requires lesser time to cluster when two binary fields are combined to form the base for the clusters.

7. Conclusion

This paper analyzes the performance of agglomerative and divisive algorithm for various data types.

From this work it is found that the divisive algorithm works as twice as fast as that of agglomerative algorithm. It is also found that the time needed for string data type is high when compared to the other. The next observation is, in the case of binary field, the time needed to execute a two combined binary field is slightly larger or less equal to the time needed for single binary field. It is also found that the running time get increased on an average of 6 times when the number of records get doubled. More over the running time for all the agglomerative algorithms for same type of data and for same amount of records is more or less equal.

References

1. "Data Mining: What is Data Mining?" in www.anderson.ucla.edu/faculty/jason.frand/teacher/tech nologies/palace/datamining.htm

2. "An Introduction to Data Mining" in www.thearling.com/text/dmwhite/dmwhite.htm

3. Fayyad, Usama, Gregory Piatetsky-Shapiro, and Padhraic Smyth, From Data Mining to Knowledge Discovery in Databases, *American Association for Artificial Intelligence- AI Magazine*, FALL 1996, 37-54 4. "Types of clustering" in http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/ Hierarchical

5. "Cluster analysis" in http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/ Cluster analysis

6. "**Hierarchical clustering**" in http://hierarchicalclustering.co.tv/

7. "A Tutorial on Clustering Algorithms" in http://home.dei.

polimi.it/matteucc/Clustering/tutorial_html/hierarchical. html

8. Maria Irene Miranda: "Clustering methods and algorithms"

http://www.cse.iitb.ac.in/dbms/Data/Courses/CS632/199 9/clustering/dbms.htm

9. Sheppard, A. G. (1996). The sequence of factor analysis and cluster analysis: Differences in segmentation and dimensionality through the use of raw and factor scores. Tourism Analysis, 1(Inaugural Volume), 49-57.

10. "Levenshtein distance" in www.merriampark.com/ld.htm

11."Tsunami victim list" http://www.ems.narenthorn. thaigov. net/tsunami_e/tsunamilist.php

12. Auffarth, B. (2010). Clustering by a Genetic Algorithm with Biased Mutation Operator. WCCI CEC. IEEE, July 18–23, 2010. http://citeseerx.ist.psu.edu/viewdoc/summary?doi=10.1.1 .170.869

13. Ines Färber, Stephan Günnemann, Hans-Peter Kriegel, Peer Kröger, Emmanuel Müller, Erich Schubert, Thomas Seidl, Arthur Zimek (2010). "On Using Class-Labels in Evaluation of Clusterings". In Xiaoli Z. Fern, Ian Davidson, Jennifer Dy. *MultiClust: Discovering*,

Summarizing, and Using Multiple Clusterings.ACM.SIGKDD.http://eecs.oregonstate.edu/r esearch/multiclust/Evaluation-4.pdf

14. Osmar R. Zaïane: "Principles of Knowledge Discovery in Databases - Chapter 8: Data Clustering" http://www.cs.ualberta.ca/~zaiane/courses/cmput690/slid es/Chapter8/index.html

15. Stephen P. Borgatti: "How to explain hierarchical clustering"

http://www.analytictech.com/networks/hiclus.htm

16. H. Finch, Comparison of distance measures in cluster analysis with dichotomous data. *Journal of Data Science*, *3*, 2005, 85-100

17. Margaret H. Dunham, *Data mining introductory and advance topics*, Low price Edition – Pearson Education, Delhi, 2003.

18. K.P.Soman, Shyam Diwakar, and V.Ajay, *Insight into data mining- theory and practice*, Eastern Economy Edition, Prentice Hall of India Pvt. Ltd, New Delhi, 2006 19. Sung Young Jung, and Taek-Soo Kim, An Agglomerative Hierarchical Clustering Using Partial Maximum Array and Incremental Similarity Computation Method, *Proceedings of the 2001 IEEE International Conference on Data Mining*, November 29-December 02, 2001, 265-272.

20. R.J.Gil-Garcia and J.M.Badia-Contelles, A General Framework for Agglomerative Hierarchical Clustering Algorithms A Pons-Porrata Pattern Recognition, *ICPR* 2006. 18th International Conference 2, 2006, 569 – 572

21. Hui-Chuan Lin (2009) Survey and Implementation of Clustering Algorithms, Master's thesis, Hsinchu, Taiwan, Republic of China

22. Jiawei Han and Micheline Kamber, *Data Mining Concepts and Techniques*, Second Edition-Morgan Kaufmann Publishers, San Francisco, 2006.

23. Evangelos Petroutsos, "Mastering Visual Basic 6", BPP publications, New Delhi.

AUTHORS' BIOGRAPHY



K. Ranjini got her M.Sc., (Comp. Sci.) degree from Madurai Kamaraj University. Further she got M.Tech and M.Phil degrees. She has a teaching experience of Seven

years. She is interested in data mining and attempting to find novel applications of data mining concepts.



Dr. N. **Rajalingam** got his B.E (Mechanical Engineering) and MBA from Madurai Kamaraj University and Doctoral degree in Business Administration from Manonmaniam Sundaranar University. He has teaching and research experience of over ten years. He is working in the field

of behavior analysis and attempting to explore various interpretations by mining the responses of the human beings to different stimuli.